

Derrick Talerico (State Bar No. 223763)  
dtalerico@wztslaw.com  
David B. Zolkin (State Bar No. 155410)  
dzolkin@wztslaw.com  
**WEINTRAUB ZOLKIN TALERICO & SELTH LLP**  
11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 730  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Telephone: (424) 500-8552

Counsel to Debtor Broadway Avenue Investments, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – LOS ANGELES DIVISION**

In re:

SEATON INVESTMENTS, LLC, *et al.*,

## **Debtors and Debtors In Possession.**

- Affects All Debtors.
  - Affects Seaton Investments, LLC
  - Affects Colyton Investments, LLC
  - Affects Broadway Avenue Investments, LLC
  - Affects SLA Investments, LLC
  - Affects Negev Investments, LLC
  - Affects Alan Gomperts
  - Affects Daniel Halevy
  - Affects Susan Halevy

Lead Case No. 2:24-bk-12079-VZ

Jointly Administered with Case Nos.:  
2:24-bk-12080-VZ; 2:24-bk-12081-VZ;  
2:24-bk-12082-VZ; 2:24-bk-12091-VZ;  
2:24-bk-12074-VZ; 2:24-bk-12075-VZ and  
2:24-bk-12076-VZ

Chapter 11

**MOTION OF DEBTOR AND DEBTOR IN  
POSSESSION BROADWAY AVENUE  
INVESTMENTS, LLC FOR ORDER  
AUTHORIZING DEBTOR TO OBTAIN  
POST-PETITION FINANCING  
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 364;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES**

Hearing:

Date: December 12, 2024  
Time: 11:00 a.m.  
Crtrm: 1368  
255 E. Temple Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

1 Debtor, Broadway Avenue Investments, LLC (“Broadway”), hereby files this *Motion of*  
2 *Debtor And Debtor In Possession For Order Authorizing The Debtor To Obtain Post-Petition*  
3 *Financing Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 364* (“Motion”). In support of the Motion, the Debtor represents  
4 as follows:

5

6 **I. GENERAL BACKGROUND**

7 On March 18, 2024 (the “Individual Petition Date”) and on March 19, 2024 (the “Corporate  
8 Petition Date”), each of the above-captioned jointly administered debtors (the “Debtors”) filed  
9 voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the Court. The Debtors  
10 continue to operate and manage their affairs as debtors and debtors-in-possession pursuant to  
11 sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Court entered an order on April 1, 2024  
12 for the Debtors’ cases to be jointly administered [Dkt. 16]. No party has requested the appointment  
13 of a trustee or examiner and no committee has been appointed or designated in the Bankruptcy  
14 Cases.

15 On April 1, 2024, the Court entered an order; (1) setting July 16, 2024 as the claims bar  
16 date; (2) setting September 20, 2024 as the last date for a hearing to be held on objections to claims;  
17 and (3) setting December 12, 2024 as the date for a hearing on a motion for approval of adequacy  
18 of disclosure statement.

19

20 **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

21       **A. Jurisdiction and Venue**

22 This Court has jurisdiction to consider this Motion under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This  
23 is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). The Debtors consent to the entry of a final order  
24 by the Court in connection with this Motion to the extent it is later determined that the Court, absent  
25 consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United  
26 States Constitution. Venue of these cases and this Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C.  
27 §§ 1408 and 1409.

28       ///

1           **B.       The Cases and the Debtor**

2           The Individual Debtors are family and operate a family business together. Debtor Susan  
3 Halevy is mother to debtor Daniel Halevy and three other non-debtor children, including Sharon  
4 Gomperts, wife of debtor Alan Gomperts.

5           Susan's husband, David Halevy (deceased), together with Daniel and Alan, and on occasion  
6 non-debtor Simon Harkham, invest in and operate real estate properties, including debtors Seaton,  
7 Broadway and SLA. Upon David Halevy's passing in 2023, his interests, to the extent they were  
8 not community property, passed to Susan via the Halevy Trust (defined below). As such, Susan  
9 Halevy is now the owner – direct, beneficial, equitable, or otherwise – of all interests in the various  
10 Debtors previously owned by David Halevy.

11          These Bankruptcy Cases present two real estate investments that require a restructuring to  
12 address defaults on their senior loans: (1) the buildings at 440 Seaton Street, Los Angeles, CA,  
13 90013 (the "Seaton Building"), and 421 Colyton Street, Los Angeles, CA, 90013 (the "Colyton  
14 Building"), which together are operated as an economic unit (the "Seaton/Colyton Buildings") and  
15 are owned by Debtors Seaton and Colyton, respectively; and (2) the building at 737 S. Broadway,  
16 Los Angeles, CA, 90014 (the "Broadway Building"), owned by Debtor Broadway.

17          The Individual Debtors have each jointly and severally guaranteed (1) certain debt owed to  
18 KDM California LLC ("KDM") on account of KDM's \$37.1 million in principal amount loaned  
19 jointly to Seaton and Colyton and secured by the Seaton/Colyton Buildings, and (2) approximately  
20 \$19.1 million of loans made by Archway Capital ("Archway") on account of the Broadway  
21 Building (the "Broadway Loans"). The Individual Debtors' guaranty liability to Archway is  
22 bifurcated into secured and unsecured tranches. Approximately \$15 million of Archway's  
23 Broadway Loans are made directly to Broadway and guaranteed by the Individual Debtors without  
24 collateral. Approximately \$4 million of Archway's Broadway Loans are made pursuant to three  
25 loans to related entities or groups and are secured by pledges of various real properties owned by  
26 the Individual Debtors, 1040 S. Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, CA (owned and pledged by SLA),  
27 and 12800 Foxdale Drive, Desert Hot Springs, CA (owned and pledged by Negev).

1 Broadway was formed in July 2013 for the purpose of acquiring, developing, and operating  
2 the Broadway Building. Broadway's membership consists of: (1) the Halevy Trust (Susan Halevy,  
3 beneficial owner); (2) the G&H Trust (Alan Gomperts and Sharon Gomperts, beneficial owners of  
4 community property); and (3) Daniel Halevy.

5 Broadway acquired the Broadway Building in 2013. The Broadway Building is an eight-  
6 story structure. At the time it was acquired by Broadway, only the ground floor was habitable.  
7 Broadway understands the seven higher floors had not been occupied since the 1950s. In 2015,  
8 Broadway entered into a 15-year lease with The GAP for the ground floor of the Broadway Building  
9 and developed a plan to remodel and modernize the entire Broadway Building to make every floor  
10 habitable and available to lease to commercial tenants.

11 A majority of the intensive remodel and modernization of the Broadway Building took place  
12 between 2015 and 2020. The improvements that were performed included the rehabilitation of the  
13 façade of the first three floors of the Broadway Building per the guidance of the Cultural Heritage  
14 Commission, installation of a fire and life safety system throughout the building, modernization of  
15 the elevator, installation of an HVAC system, fire pump and sprinkler system, emergency backup  
16 generator and replacement and installation of electric and plumbing systems throughout the  
17 building. In March 2020, after first confirming the full term of its lease, The GAP exercised a one-  
18 time early termination provision on its lease as the uncertainty of COVID began to take hold.

19 With an end to the remodel and modernization in sight, Broadway refinanced its outstanding  
20 loans with a single loan from Archway in July 2021, in the original principal amount of \$16,942,500  
21 (the "Broadway Loan"). The Broadway Loan was guaranteed by David Halevy, Daniel Halevy, and  
22 Alan Gomperts.

23 The Broadway Loan matured on August 1, 2022. After commencing an action for breach  
24 against its guarantors and filing a notice of default to begin foreclosure on the Broadway Building,  
25 the parties agreed to a restructure (the "Broadway Restructure") that extended the maturity date of  
26 the Broadway Loan to December 1, 2023, affirmed the balance due under the Broadway Loan in  
27 the principal amount of \$15,241,093, and called for \$4 million in new loans (the "New Loans")  
28 from Archway to benefit the Broadway Loan and the Broadway Building. The New Loans were

1 made via three loan agreements: (1) to Negev for \$1,300,000 (the “Negev Loan”); (2) to SLA for  
2 \$125,000 (the “SLA Loan”); and (3) jointly to David Halevy, the Halevy Trust, Alan Gomperts,  
3 the G&H Trust, and Daniel Halevy for \$2,575,000 (the “Guarantor Loan”). The Negev Loan was  
4 secured by the real property located at 12800 Foxdale Drive, Desert Hot Springs, California, and  
5 was guaranteed by David Halevy, with the guaranty secured by David Halevy’s membership  
6 interests in Negev. The SLA Loan was secured by the real property located at 1040 S. Los Angeles  
7 Street and was guaranteed by David Halevy, Susan Halevy, Alan Gomperts, and Daniel Halevy,  
8 with the guarantees secured by the guarantors’ membership interests in SLA. The Guarantor Loans  
9 were secured by the following real property: (1) 3538 Greenfield Avenue, Los Angeles, California  
10 (owned by the G&H Trust); (2) 133 S. Palm Drive, Beverly Hills, California (owned by the Halevy  
11 Trust); and (3) 8561 Horner Street, Los Angeles, California (owned by Daniel Halevy). The \$4  
12 million of proceeds from the New Loans were distributed exclusively for the benefit of Archway  
13 and the Broadway Loan, with \$1,701,407.01 applied to pay down the balance of the Broadway  
14 Loan.

15 As of the Petition Date, the Debtor’s scheduled the outstanding debt owed to Archway at  
16 \$15,663,398 on the Broadway Loan, \$1,336,020 on the Negev Loan, \$128,958 on the SLA Loan,  
17 and \$2,646,348.96 on the Guarantor Loan. Archway filed a proof of claim stating the amount owed  
18 by Broadway as of the Petition Date was \$16,162,043.85 plus post-petition interest, fees, and costs.

19 **C. The Bankruptcy**

20 With the The GAP’s early termination, the building was left empty, and Broadway engaged  
21 in efforts to acquire new tenants. These efforts continued post-petition. On or around the time of  
22 the Petition Date, Broadway began exploring an opportunity to lease the entire building to a health  
23 and mental wellness group that would provide medical and social services to the Los Angeles  
24 homeless and transient population, in-line with directives from the City to address the issues and  
25 needs of this popoulation (the “Project”). What began as a possibility quickly developed into a full-  
26 on effort to put together the people, providers, and structure needed to make the Project a reality.

27 Broadway has now assembled a team that is ready to immediately execute on the Project  
28 and have medical and social services up and running at the Property in a matter of months. To this

1 end, Broadway has obtained a commitment from New Millennia Group to provide a \$4 million DIP  
2 loan (the “DIP Loan”) to Broadway to fund the Project and an executed 15-year lease from the  
3 operators and managers of the Project. With the approval of the motions for the proposed DIP loan  
4 and whole-building lease, Broadway’s ability to confirm a plan of reorganization will be assured.

5 **D. DIP Loan Terms**

6 As explained above, Broadway seeks post-petition financing to be used to fund the Project  
7 and for administrative costs of Broadway’s bankruptcy. The DIP Loan would not prime any existing  
8 secured liens but would be secured only by grants, subsidies, and other government and NGO  
9 incentives applied for and received post-petition (“Incentives”) and any assets acquired with the  
10 proceeds of the DIP Loan (“Personal Property”). New Millennia Group (“New Millennia”) has agreed  
11 to fund the DIP Loan. The Debtor believes the cost of the DIP Loan is fair and reasonable. Attached  
12 as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Marcus Naulin (the “Naulin Declaration”), is a true and correct  
13 copy of the loan agreement setting forth the material terms of the DIP Loan. The terms of the DIP  
14 Loan are summarized as follows:

- 15 i. Amount: \$4 million principal loan;
- 16 ii. Origination fee: 6%
- 17 iii. Diligence fee: \$10,000
- 18 iv. Term: 12 months
- 19 v. Interest rate: 12%
- 20 vi. Loan amortization: interest only payments
- 21 vii. Interest reserve: 4 months
- 22 viii. Security interest: (i) grants, subsidies, and other government and NGO  
23 incentives paid to Broadway; (ii) personal property acquired with proceeds  
24 of the Loan.

25 **II. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

26 “Section 364(c) provides that, if the trustee (here DIP) is unable to obtain unsecured credit  
27 allowable as an administrative expense under § 503(b)(1), the court may authorize obtaining of  
28 credit or incurring of debt (1) with priority over any or all administrative expenses, (2) secured by

1 a lien on otherwise unencumbered property of the estate, or (3) secured by a junior lien on property.”

2 *In re Tamarack Resort, LLC*, 2010 Bankr. LEXIS 3680, \*34.

3 To obtain financing secured by a lien on property of the estate that is not otherwise subject  
4 to a lien pursuant to section 364(c)(2), the trustee must “demonstrate that it has reasonably  
5 attempted, but failed, to obtain unsecured credit under sections 364(a) or (b).” *See In re Ames Dept.*  
6 *Stores Inc.*, 115 B.R. 34, 37 (Bankr S.D.N.Y. 1990).

7 Courts will evaluate the facts and circumstances of a debtor’s case and will accord  
8 significant weight to the necessity for obtaining financing so long as it does not run afoul of the  
9 provisions and policies underlying the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g., Bray v. Shenandoah Fed. Sav.*  
10 & *Loan Ass’n. (In re Snow Shoe Co.)*, 789 F.2d 1085, 1088 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1986) (approving debtor-in-  
11 possession financing necessary to sustain seasonal business); *Ames*, 115 B.R. at 40 (“[C]ases  
12 consistently reflect that the court’s discretion under section 364 is to be utilized on grounds that  
13 permit reasonable business judgment to be exercised so long as the financing agreement does not  
14 contain terms that leverage the bankruptcy process and powers or its purpose is not so much to  
15 benefit the estate as it is to benefit a party-in-interest.”).

16 The traditional test for evaluating requests under Section 364(c) is: (1) the debtor cannot  
17 obtain unsecured administrative credit; (2) the credit is necessary to preserve the assets of the estate;  
18 and (3) the terms of the credit are fair and reasonable. *In re Crouse Group, Inc.*, 71 B.R. 544  
19 Bankr.E.D.Pa. 1987).

20       A.     **The Debtor Cannot Obtain any Other Sources Unsecured Financing and the**  
21           **DIP Loan Terms are Fair and Reasonable**

22 Broadway has tried and cannot obtain unsecured administrative credit due to its current lack  
23 of equity in the Property, current lack of income, and current bankruptcy status, as set forth in the  
24 Declaration of Alan Gomperts filed concurrently herewith. Broadway cannot qualify for unsecured  
25 debt or debt with an administrative priority. New Millennia is currently the only lender Broadway  
26 has been able to locate who is willing to provide the DIP Loan. New Millennia is unique as a lender  
27 in that it understands the Project and is therefore willing to lend on the business plan presented by  
28 the Project, without taking a lien against the Property. Broadway struggled to obtain a DIP Loan

1 that did not require priming existing liens and is quite fortunate to have secured a DIP Loan that  
2 does not seek to subordinate existing lienholders. Considering the specialized use for the DIP Loan  
3 to fund the Project, current lack of income, and bankruptcy status, Broadway believes the terms of  
4 the DIP Loan are fair and reasonable including a reasonable. Based upon the foregoing, the Court  
5 can conclude that financing is not available to the Debtor on an unsecured basis.

6       **B.     The DIP Loan Is Necessary to Preserve the Assets of the Estate**

7           As explained above, Broadway does not currently generate revenue. Its sole asset is a  
8 commercial building that has no tenants. The DIP Loan and the full-building lease (approval sought  
9 by separate motion) will allow Broadway to generate cash flow (rent) that will allow Broadway to  
10 confirm a plan that can pay secured liens in full, pay the costs of administration, and potentially  
11 provide a return for unsecured creditors. Absent the DIP Loan, the value of the Property (and hence  
12 the value of the Broadway estate) is far less than the secured lien on the Property. The Project –  
13 made possible by the DIP Loan and Lease – will turn what could be an administratively insolvent  
14 case into a successful restructure.

15  
16       **III.    PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS**

17           In compliance with Rule 4001 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (“FRBP”) and  
18 Rule 4001-2 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules (“LBR”), the Debtor has completed and filed Form  
19 4001.2 Finance Statement with the Motion.

20  
21       **IV.     CONCLUSION**

22           For the reasons set forth herein, Broadway respectfully requests that the Court enter an  
23 order:

- 24           1.     Granting the Motion in its entirety;  
25           2.     Authorizing the Debtor to enter into the DIP Loan with New Millennia Group;  
26           3.     Authorizing New Millennia to file UCC-1 financing statements and take such other  
27              steps as New Millennia may deem necessary to perfect a lien on Incentives and  
28              Personal Property; and

1       4.     Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper under the  
2                   circumstances.

3                   Dated: November 21, 2024

4                   **WEINTRAUB ZOLKIN TALERICO & SELTH LLP**

5                   By: /s/ Derrick Talerico

6                   Derrick Talerico  
7                   Counsel to Debtor Broadway Avenue Investments, LLC

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**WEINTRAUB ZOLKIN TALERICO & SELTH LLP**  
11766 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 730  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

WEINTRAUB ZOLKIN TALERICO & SELTH LLP  
11766 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 730  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28